


AF  
IPW

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



# TRANSMITTAL FORM

Application Number	09/930,115
Filing Date	August 14, 2001
First Named Inventor	SAMRA et al.
Art Unit	2672
Examiner Name	BRIER, Jeffrey A.
Attorney Docket Number	020699-004800US

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 18

## ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request <input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement <input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s) <input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers <input type="checkbox"/> Petition <input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application <input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation <input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address <input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Landscape Table on CD	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to TC <input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) <input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information <input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below): Postcard
Remarks Please apply any appropriate charges or credits to Deposit Account No. 50-3000		

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name	Trellis Intellectual Property Law Group, PC		
Signature			
Printed name	Brian N. Young		
Date	June 1, 2007	Reg. No.	48,602

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature			
Typed or printed name	Megan A. Godsey	Date	June 1, 2007

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Appl. No. 09/930,115  
Client Ref. 50P4410.01  
Docket No. 020699-004800US



Date: June 1, 2007

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Signed: \_\_\_\_\_

Megan A. Godsey

Appl. No. : 09/930,115  
Applicant : SAMRA, et al.  
Filed : August 14, 2001  
Title : USER INTERFACE FOR A DIGITAL PRODUCTION SYSTEM  
INCLUDING MULTIPLE WINDOW VIEWING AND  
NAVIGATING  
TC/A.U. : 2672  
Examiner : BRIER, JEFFREY A  
Docket No. : 020699-004800US (50P4410.01)  
Conf. No. : 9996

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

In response to the Notification of non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed May 7, 2007,  
Applicant respectfully resubmits this corrected Appeal Brief.

## APPEAL BRIEF

## **Table of Contents**

<b>Real Party in Interest</b>	<b>Page 3</b>
<b>Related Appeals and Interference</b>	<b>Page 4</b>
<b>Status of Claims</b>	<b>Page 5</b>
<b>Status of Amendments</b>	<b>Page 6</b>
<b>Summary of Claimed Subject Matter</b>	<b>Page 7</b>
<b>Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal</b>	<b>Page 8</b>
<b>Argument</b>	<b>Page 9-11</b>
<b>Claims Appendix</b>	<b>Page 12-13</b>
<b>Evidence Appendix</b>	<b>Page 14</b>
<b>Related Proceedings Appendix</b>	<b>Page 15</b>

### Real Party in Interest

The Real Party in Interest is SONY Corporation and SONY Electronics, Inc., the assignee of record.

## **Related Appeals and Interference**

There are no related appeals and interferences.

### **Status of Claims**

Claims 21-24 are pending in the present application. Claims 1-20 have been cancelled. Claims 21-24 are on appeal.

### **Status of Amendments**

Applicants have filed an amendment with this appeal brief. The amendments are to claims 22 and 23. The amendment has not been acted upon by the examiner.

## Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

Fig. 4A shows an embodiment of the claimed subject matter. In Fig. 4A, a display screen 300 shows a flow graph that includes nodes. *See Specification*, page 12, par. 86. The nodes of the flow graph are connected using connectors. *See Specification*, page 12, par. 86. Not all of nodes in the flow graph are shown in display screen 300. However, navigator box 312 shows a miniature representation of the flow graph. *See Specification*, page 12, par. 87. An inner box 314 shows the nodes displayed in display screen 300. A navigator box 312 shows nodes that may be found off screen of display screen 300. *See Specification*, page 12, par. 87. As shown, the nodes in inner box 314 and navigator box 312 are not connected via the connectors shown connecting the nodes in display screen 300. *See Specification*, page 12, par. 87. Using inner box 314 and navigator box 312, the user can tell that a node is off screen and thus can scroll the display screen view upwards to bring in another node.



### Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

1. Whether claims 22 and 23 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter that applicants regard as the invention.

2. Whether claims 21-24 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) over Hama et al (U.S. Patent No. 4,751,507, hereinafter "Hama"), in view of IBM technical disclosure bulletin and further in view of Mederer et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,864,782, hereinafter "Mederer").

## Argument

### 1. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §112

In an amendment after final, Applicants have amended claim 22 and 23 to correct the antecedent basis issues. Applicants submit that claims 22 and 23, as amended, fully comply with the requirements of §112.

### 24. Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103

Applicants submit that Hama, IBM technical disclosure bulletin, and Mederer, either alone or in combination, do not disclose or suggest every element of claims 21 and 24. For example, these references do not disclose or suggest omitting display of the lines interconnecting the plurality of nodes in the flowgraph displayed within the inner box and the navigator box while lines interconnecting the first set of nodes are displayed in the section of the display screen. As shown in Hama, Fig. 5, a part of an evergreen tree is shown in magnification in a box 23. A full screen picture that includes all screen images from box 23 shown in box 22. Further, in Mederer, Fig. 1, dots are shown in boxes 24 and 26 without lines. In Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, lines are shown interconnecting the dots.

Claims 21 and 24 both recite omitting display of the lines interconnecting the plurality of nodes in the flow graph displayed within the inner box and the navigator box while displaying the lines interconnecting the one or more nodes in the section of the display screen. The plurality of nodes includes a first set of nodes and a second set of nodes. The inner box displays the first set of nodes and the navigator box displays the second set of nodes. Taking the inner box and navigator box together, the plurality of nodes are displayed. However, the first set of nodes is displayed in the section of the display screen. The plurality of nodes shown in the inner box and navigator box do not have lines interconnecting them; however, the first set of nodes do have lines connecting them as shown in the display screen.

In the final office action mailed October 18, 2006, in the response to arguments section, the rejection stated that Mederer teaches displaying a large flow graph

in Fig. 1 and displaying a flow graph with nodes and lines "L" in Fig. 2, and also displaying a flow graph in a third way in Fig. 3. The rejection then states that Mederer suggests modifying Hama to display a flow graph displaying only nodes in a claimed inner box and a claimed navigator box because these display areas show many nodes in a small display area and to modify Hama to display the claimed section of the display with nodes and lines forming a portion of the flow graph because this display area shows a few nodes in a larger display area. Applicants note that nowhere in the response or rejection does the rejection address a situation where lines interconnecting the plurality of nodes in the flow graph are omitted within the inner box and the navigator box while lines interconnecting the first set of nodes are displayed in a section of the display screen. Rather, Mederer discloses three different and separate flow graphs one of which does not include lines interconnecting nodes and two of which include lines interconnecting nodes. Applicant notes that these are not even the same flow graph. Rather, these are different representations of plant parts.

Further, in the summary of the rejection of claim 21, nowhere does the rejection address omitting display lines when displaying nodes in a flow graph while lines interconnecting the first set of nodes are displayed in the section of the display screen. In Hama, the portion of the tree shown in box 23 is shown in box 25. The rest of the picture is shown in box 22. These are the same exact images and no changes are made other than the image shown in box 23 magnifies a portion of the image in box 22. In Mederer, three different graphs are displayed using different variables. These are not even the same graphs nor are they shown together. In claim 21, a portion of the image shown in the navigator box and inner box that is shown in the section of the display screen and has been changed (e.g., lines interconnecting the first set of nodes are included in the section of the display screen while being omitted in the inner box and navigator box). Hama, Mederer, and the IBM technical disclosure, do not disclose or suggest the concurrent display as claimed. Rather, Hama discloses the same image that is displayed in two different boxes, Mederer discloses three different graphs that are created separately, and the IBM technical disclosure just discloses one flow chart. Thus, the rejection has not addressed or shown any reference that discloses or suggests the subject


matter claimed in claim 21. Further, no mention of where the element is found is provided in the rejection. The rejection takes the elements piece by piece but does not address the omitting of interconnecting lines in the navigator box and inner box while displaying the interconnecting lines in the section of the display screen.


Further, Applicants do not see how generating three different graphs in Mederer can disclose or suggest omitting display of lines interconnecting the plurality of nodes while displaying lines interconnecting a first set of nodes in the display screen. Rather, Mederer suggests an all or nothing approach. Either nodes are displayed without any connecting lines or nodes are displayed with interconnecting lines. Nowhere in Mederer is it disclosed or suggested that the graph in Fig. 1 and the graph in Fig. 2 are displayed at the same time, and, even if they were displayed at the same time, they are not the same graph. Thus, Mederer still would not disclose or suggest omitting display of lines as claimed.

Accordingly, applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the rejection of claims 21 and 24. Claims 22 and 23 depend from claim 21 and thus derive patentability at least therefrom.

Respectfully submitted,

TRELLIS INTELLECTUAL  
PROPERTY LAW GROUP, PC

  
By  
Brian N. Young  
Reg. No. 48,602  
Tel.: 650-842-0300



## Claims Appendix

### Listing of Claims:

1-20. (Canceled)

24. (Previously presented) A method for viewing an image on a display screen, wherein the image includes a plurality of nodes in a flowgraph, wherein lines are used to interconnect a first set of nodes in the plurality of nodes that are displayed in a section of the display screen, wherein a portion of the image is displayed in the section of the display screen and wherein portions of the image are off-screen, the method comprising:

displaying a navigator box on the display screen, wherein the navigator box provides a miniature representation of a second set of nodes of the plurality of nodes;

displaying an inner box within the navigator box, wherein the inner box provides a miniature representation of the first set of nodes of the plurality of nodes in the flowgraph, wherein edges of the inner box correspond to edges of the display screen;

displaying a miniature version of the portion of the image on the display screen within the inner box in correspondence with the portion of the image's position with respect to the edges of the display screen, wherein the portions of the off-screen image are shown in miniature within the area of the navigator box that is outside of the inner box such that the first set of nodes and the second set of nodes display the plurality of nodes in the flowgraph in the navigator box and the inner box; and

omitting display of the lines interconnecting the plurality of nodes in the flowgraph displayed within the inner box and the navigator box while lines interconnecting the first set of nodes are displayed in the section of the display screen.

22. (Previously presented) The method of claim 21, wherein the plurality of nodes includes the at least one node and an outer node, wherein the outer node in the plurality of nodes is included in the off-screen image, wherein the outer node is shown within the area of the navigator box that is outside of the inner box.

23. (Previously presented) The method of claim 21, wherein omitting display of the lines interconnecting the plurality of nodes comprises omitting display of a line interconnecting the at least one node and the outer node.

24. (Previously presented) A method for viewing an image on a display screen, wherein the image includes a plurality of nodes in a flowgraph, wherein a portion of the image is displayed and wherein portions of the image are off-screen, wherein the plurality of nodes comprise one or more inner nodes and one or more outer nodes, wherein lines are used to interconnect one or more inner nodes of the plurality of nodes in a section of the display screen, the method comprising:

displaying a navigator box on the display screen;

displaying an inner box within the navigator box, wherein the inner box provides a miniature representation of one or more inner nodes of the plurality of nodes in the flowgraph, wherein the one or more inner nodes are in the portion of the image displayed, wherein edges of the inner box correspond to edges of the display screen;

displaying a miniature version of the portion of the image on the display screen within the inner box in correspondence with the portion of the image's position with respect to the edges of the display screen, wherein the portions of the off-screen image are shown in miniature within the area of the navigator box that is outside of the inner box, wherein the one or more outer nodes are in the portion of the off-screen image shown within the area of the navigator box that is outside of the inner box; and

omitting display of the lines interconnecting the plurality of nodes in the flowgraph displayed within the inner box and the navigator box while displaying the lines interconnecting the one or more inner nodes in the section of the display screen.

**Evidence Appendix**

NONE

**Related Proceedings Appendix**

NONE